

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/706,645	11/06/2000	Dimitri Kanevsky	13808(YOR920000454US1)	, 8227
7	7590 08/08/2003			
Richard L Catania Scully Scott Murphy & Presser			EXAMINER	
400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
			OUELLETTE, JO	ONATHAN P
			OUELLETTE, JO	ONATHAN P PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Ì	4	Advisory Action	09/706,645	KANEVSKY ET AL.		
		•	Examiner	Art Unit		
			Jonathan Ouellette	3629		
		The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) 🗆	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3.	App	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	ction(s):			
4.						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6.🛛	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. (Rificular Considered Soletian was applied in First					
7.	For	purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen planation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or to would be rejected is provided bel	low or appended.		
	The	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		end		
	Cla	nim(s) allowed:	IOHN	G. WEISS		
	Cla	nim(s) objected to:		PATENT EXAMINER		
	Cla	nim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .	TECHNOLOG'	y Center 3600		
	Cla	nim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8.	The	proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.		
9.	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						